

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(S. R.)

NOTES.

File No. 10970.

FILE HEADING.

Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of Alagana
Sawamaryam village Nanjangud T. K.

From

The Excise Commissioner

No. 4579
247

Dated 7.2.03. Received

Diary No.

Enclos.

Spare Copies.

Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

Subject. *See* Comr's letter no $\frac{4579}{247}$
of 7-11-1883.

Maundani Claim to the *toddi*
revenue of *Alaganchi* *Sawa*.
managan village, *Nangur*
Taluk, *Mysore* District.

*
Note. The village was granted to
Chick Siddaraj *Ust* by his
keshnars on *Sawamangan*
tenure under *Sarnad* dated
10th June 1826. It seems to
have passed to the present
owners by means of sale
in 1860 & 1868 (vide Col 18 in
the *Deane* Settlement record.
The sale deeds are not found
in the record ruled by the Comr
with the letter under disposal).
The *Sarnad* Concedes "*Schal*
Paiem" to the *maundani*.
~~In the year 1883~~
The title deed (not found
in the record) is said to

- * 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
 2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
 3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
 4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
 5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.
1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
 2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
 3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

mention nothing about
"toddy." (vide the statement
of Karasimukisela, agent
of Mr Nanjindara Iyer).

¹/₂ The following remarks
are found in the settle-
ment records: -

(1) Para 4 Col. 19. "Sayer, Sudi
and Forest rights & right
Sandal are enjoyed"
d 30/6/79.

(2) Para 4. Col. 20. "The item
of Toddy is allowed so
far as leaving the trees
to the Govt. Contractor."
d 8/8/80.

(3) Para 6. Col. 20. "The other
items of Abkari and
all Govt rights in
Forest are reserved."
d 8/8/80.

The landlords have not
produced sufficient proof
of their long & continued
enjoyment in support of
which the only document
produced by them is a copy

(2) 4)
of the lease executed by the
Court Contractor for 1890-91
& 1891-92.

The Asst Commr. Mr. C. Van der
Roo, who investigated the
claim has relied solely
upon remark no 2 of the
main settlement, refd to
above, and allowed to the
inamdar only "tree rent"
under rule 16. He does not
pay if any & what amount
is due to the inamdar under
the "Farming system" and the
"Liminary Tree Tax system".
But the Eeise Commr is on the
other hand, of opinion that
reading the Survey along
with the remarks nos 2 & 3
of the main settlement, the
claim to the Eeise revenue
is established.

~~of the~~ The village has a shop
and a grove. The shop is
said to have drawn its

supplies from the stone &
in other villages as well.

In examination of the "Titled" also seems necessary as it is not clear how the remnants of the decan subot can be held to recognize the claim when the title deed is silent about it.

Subd with a draft 5/11/03

Tsue
7.5.03.

4 9/5/03

Issue 4/11/03

1. 10/10/03.

There is no ^{deed} in the title to indicate that it right to the ^{deed} revenue was conceded to the Mandan. And on the question of enjoyment of the right for 12 years prior to 1892-13. the Dy Commr reports that ~~the deed~~ no evidence is forthcoming from the agent of the Mandan except that of Murchalika - referred to already. If this recommendation of the Dy Commr to allow the claim is to be upheld, Special orders are necessary as per rule 89 of f.P. & 2 August 1903. 11/14/03.

The second & finally concedes "Lchal Pygroo". In fact it concedes to (11)

the Mandans every item of the
 preserving only the item of ~~today~~
 "Sandal". When this name
 was settled, in 1879-80, it has
 been recorded that "Sandi"
 (2) is one of the items of revenue
 enjoyed by the Mandans. But
 a remark is made by the
 name sup^d that "the item
 of today is allowed so far as
 clearing the trees to the Govt.
 Contractors & that the other
 items of alkali are preserved."

~~of 1871~~
 This name was settled long
 after the name Comis for No. 16
 of July 1871. Consequently if "today"
 had been really presumed Comis
 would have been allowed. In answer
 as this is not done, the Comis
 opinion that the name settlement
 was presumed the claim may be
 accepted. The above instructions
 seems to have been introduced
 to prevent the Mandans themselves
 drawing today & selling it. It is not

understanding the item allowed to the Mandans at
 the settlement as a natural product in the district.
 (1) 2. The next question is enjoyed for
 12 yrs prior to 1892-93. In support

Of this, one mukdaliha covering a
period of only 2 yrs 1890-92, is
produced, & no other document
is said to be available - A clear
report on this point was called
for from the Engrs Comm^{tee} ^{but} he
has not ~~done this~~ submitted it
there is no other alternative
than to disallow the claim
for the above defect or to
allow the claim (presuming
12 yrs enjoyment)
Ordered for order.

Three
20/1/04 The petition of Tunga Vajjandasetti,
one of the claimants, dated 19 Dec: 1901,
refers to two decrees obtained by him against
the Govt Contractor Chik Muddappa - one
in 1887-88 & the other in 1891-92. These decrees
have not been sub^d with the proceedings. The
proceedings relating to them may throw
further light on the question of enjoyment.

Para 19 of the Rules contemplates proof of
enjoyment within the 12 years preceding the year
1892-93. Such proof seems to be available
in about three years within the period referred to.

The Engrs Comm^{tee} recommendation may,
I submit, be accepted.

Draft subd.

22/1/04 H. V.

The Engrs Comm^{tee}

24/1/04

through the 2nd

I am not quite satisfied
that this is a clear case - Please ask the
Cttee to call upon the Engrs Comm^{tee}

(4) 9

to produce copies of
the judgments, decrees
and other important
papers in the two
suits referred to above.

15 Issue this order
before submission to
Dewan please.

27-1-04

27/1/4
Draft made

16 Draft ruled.
27.1.04.

20 The same Court, No: 526 after

(11) 29.1.1904.

calling for additional information

(3) From the same Court, No: 484 after
19th Nov 1908.

Explains that the remarks of the Supt.
that concluded the Zam settlement
"can not mean anything else than
that the Zamindar is not
allowed to derive toddy revenue from
his village except through the pat. contractor"

	Serial No.	
		17 This reply from the Smeise bent shows that this is a very clear case in favor of the Inamdar's claim to the Smeise revenue from today.
2	✓	18 To begin with, the Smeise alienating the village conceded to the Inamdar all items of revenue except <u>Sandal</u> . It mentions each pair among the several items of revenue assigned to the Inamdar. There is also a mention
de Inam kept Raggy	✓	of Rs. 12-5-0 under "Panchaboli" in the Huzur Kyfiat pathi of 1838. This is proof of antecedent enjoy- ment as well as of a right to
E. W. 1877	✓	future enjoyment. This is evidence that, in 1865, the Smeise revenue (Rs. 0-2-0 to Rs. 0-2-0) was leased out for 3 years to Messrs Edward & Co. for a sum calculated on the
X W.		average of the preceding five years income. The same was leased out to a subsequent period of 5 years, 1870-75 to Messrs John Dargan & Sons. The
y	✓	Inamdar's records in 1880 that the item of <u>Sandi</u> was in the enjoyment of the Inamdar. The village Kharchi accounts recorded in the file show enjoyment for

	Serial No.	
J & U.		the next five years 1880-1885. The decisions given in Res. Div. Cases 19 of 1887 and 16 of 1892 bring the enjoyment down to 1892-3. In other words, there has been <u>uninterrupted & continuous</u> enjoyment of the <u>Shamda's</u> <u>enjoyment</u> <u>rights</u> from the very commencement down to the present moment. The fact that the village has always been a <u>lake</u> <u>house</u> & <u>to</u> <u>say</u> <u>that</u> <u>is</u> <u>con</u> <u>clusive</u> <u>that</u> <u>what</u> <u>the</u> <u>Shamda</u> <u>has</u> <u>all</u> <u>along</u> <u>enjoyed</u> <u>was</u> <u>the</u> <u>full</u> <u>Shamda</u> <u>revenue</u> <u>that</u> <u>belongs</u> <u>to</u> <u>the</u> <u>class</u> <u>of</u> <u>privileged</u> <u>Shamdas</u> .
Rule 18, para (3) M.		By there is no denying that the remarks made at the Sham Settlement are not always free from ambiguity. The Sham Board explains away clearly enough what the remarks of the Sham Settlement mean in the present case. The rules laid down by the Settlement of the Shamda's claims says that, when doubtful or ambiguous words are used in the ~~Sham~~ Sham Register, the original <u>Shamda</u> of the village & the being account of the year in which the village was alienated shall be

S.R

File No. 109 of 1908-9

14 7

Serial
No.

Considers to ascertain whether the
Sueise on today was included in the
assets of the tal being and that
the claim should only be admitted
the Subent alienated.

to in the foregoing circumstances
the claim may be recognized if, by
reference to the being account of 182
it is substantiated that the Sueise
on today was included in the assets
the village.

Submitted.

V.S.

2/12/08

to the Vernacular Record for
favourable before submission to the

Sd/-

M. M. 1/12

2

సం. 1826 కి ఇది కవియల్లు పరిశీలన తరువాత
సంబంధించు - ఇది విస్తరించుకొని ఆర్డరును
కలిపి రిపోర్ట్ చేయవలయునని ఆర్డరును
అదరమిలే నడక నడవలెనని గురించు
శ్లో. 5671-9 కి సంబంధించినవి నడిచి ఆర్డరును
సం. 173-07 కి సంబంధించినవి నడిచి ఆర్డరును
వివిధ విషయములను తిమిరమున వ్రేలు అ
కలంకము, అంగులములను తిమిరమున వ్రేలు
కుకలంకమును తిమిరమున వ్రేలు. ఈ సందర్భమున
అల్లులను పిలిచి గురించు వివరములు

V.R. R. No 127
3-12-08
V.R. R.

To. No. 128

A.

S. R.

File No.

109

of 1908 - 9

17
(8)

Serial
No.

విశేష సంగతి తీర్మానము తయారు అప్పగించినందున
బాంధవము అందరికి నుండి తెలియజేయుట
అంశ శీర్షిక తయారు చేయుట

అసిస్టెంట్ సెక్రటరీ జనరల్ ఎం. జి. లక్ష్మణం
(ప్రెజిడెంట్ ప్రాన్స్ కమిషన్)

మొదటి
4-12-08

Submitted

From No 138

W.S.
4.12.08
m

23 The proposal of the case
has already been delayed
long and it is not
desirable to add to such

delay by returning the case
to the District. Besides it is
almost ready for decision.
Whether today exercise revenue
formed part of the revenue
alienated is the only
point which requires veri-
fication from the old
papers relating to the case,
and for such verification the
file is referred again to
the Vernacular Records
Branch.

available in this
Office

11-12-08

No. No 142

24 సభ్యులందరి అనుమతి తీసుకొని
అప్పగించినందున (ప్రెజిడెంట్ ప్రాన్స్ కమిషన్)

మొదటి
11-12-08

సంఖ్య

Serial
No.

Flag - 2

తాంబూలు తాంబూలు కి నానా తిన్న నామ్మి కు యిం 2
సం 1801-1802 కి ముఖ్య కమిషనరు వత్తర ద అధికారి గారి
మన వాత్తు రిక్కు దాని తిచ్చి — ఈ తిచ్చి ద ల్ల రిక్కు దాని
కు నా తిచ్చి వా తిచ్చి పేరి, నా మిచ్చి న ప్ర కి ల్ల రిక్కు దాని కు
యిం 2 యిం 2 నామ్మి. ఈ తిచ్చి లు పేరి లు అచ్చి వ తిచ్చి
ని దా తిచ్చి న రిక్కు దాని తిచ్చి వా తిచ్చి లు యిం 2

Flag - 3

సం 1831-32 కి ముఖ్య కమిషనరు వత్తర ద అధికారి గారి
అచ్చి తిచ్చి సం 1832-33 కి నా తిచ్చి నామ్మి వత్తర ద
తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు మిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు తిచ్చి లు యిం 2
రిక్కు దాని కు మిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు తిచ్చి లు యిం 2
మిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు సం 1833-34 కి మిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని
వత్తర ద తాంబూలు తాంబూలు కి నానా తిన్న నామ్మి
ని దా తిచ్చి మిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు, మిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు
ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు — (ప్ర కి 5)

ని దా తిచ్చి మిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు, మిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు
ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు —

ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు

ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు

ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు

ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు

ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు

ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు

ఈ తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు వత్తర ద అధికారి గారి
ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు వత్తర ద అధికారి గారి
యిం 2 యిం 2 నామ్మి వత్తర ద అధికారి గారి
ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు వత్తర ద అధికారి గారి
ని దా తిచ్చి రిక్కు దాని కు వత్తర ద అధికారి గారి

16) (18)

Serial
No.

Flag 4

[illegible]

అసతి - వాదాతి - బాగాలేదని అంటున్నారానీ

అంబేద్కర్ ప్రభుత్వం

[illegible]

2. သစ်သစ် သစ်သစ်

no. _____

१ भाषाविज्ञान

೧ ವಿದ್ಯಾಕಲಾಪಕವಾದಿ

४
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ १ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ २ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ३ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ४ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ५ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ६ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ७ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ८ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ ९ ॥
 ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥ १० ॥

செவ்வாய்

ကောသလသမ္ဘူတိဝိသုဒ္ဓိ -

२४५०१३७६९८७६५४

15626m

॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥

అంబరకాండము



[Handwritten signature]

Serial
No.

(సర్వమంన్యల్లముల విగ్రహములను)
విమానాన్ని బాంబు కాల్చుచు కాల్చుచు
విచ్చితమునకు దాక్షిణ్యము 219

Flag 4 6

విమానములను వచ్చిరదములను
గోప్యమునకు తీయు 2 కీపుట దక్షిణమునకు
కీపుటదక్షిణము

2 గోప్యము - కీపుటదక్షిణము
2 గోప్యము - కీపుటదక్షిణము

Flag 7

4 కీపుటదక్షిణము -

2 గోప్యము - కీపుటదక్షిణము
2 గోప్యము - కీపుటదక్షిణము
2 గోప్యము - కీపుటదక్షిణము

Flag 8

విమానాన్ని కీపుటదక్షిణము 1 కీపుటదక్షిణము -

2 గోప్యము - కీపుటదక్షిణము

Flag 9

సర్వవిమానములను వచ్చిరదములను గోప్యమునకు
కీపుటదక్షిణము (4 కీపుటదక్షిణమునకు దక్షిణమునకు
విమానములను వచ్చిరదములను విమానము -

Flag 10

సర్వవిమానములను విమానాన్ని బాంబు కాల్చుచు
కీపుటదక్షిణము 2 కీపుటదక్షిణమునకు నగదులుతో విమానములను
" 46 " ఎంతలు, సర్వవిమానములను సర్వవిమానములను
బాంబు కాల్చుచు కీపుటదక్షిణము / కీపుటదక్షిణము
ముఖమునకు విమానములను " 0 " నుండి

Flag 11

సీమార్చి ఎంతలు కీపుటదక్షిణము కీపుటదక్షిణము, బగ్గ
విమానముతో విమానములను కీపుటదక్షిణము " 0 " సీమార్చి

181(131)

Serial
No.

May - 12

యొకరి కుట్టువల్లయల్లనీతలూ, ఇదీతః
వ్యయం నేపుటదల్ల నానీనానీవన్వన
దర్శి "ర" సేరాన్యి యొకే నుం: వ్యయం దా
ఇతరేల్లనీనానీదల్ల కుట్టువల్లయల్లనీ
తలూ నీయూదియిత్తి.

సంవదనానీ తాళానానీ నానీదానీయనల్ల
నీవరానీ బాంబికా యూరూకానానీ

విక్రయియిచ్చి దత్తరదాన్ - 279 -

May - 13

విక్రయి సీవత్తరదాన మాన్యి నీవత్తరదా
త్యి యొ 2. కీరానీ దాదానీ కుత్తరదానీనానీ
న యూరూకానానీ 7. కీరానీ వ్యయం నీవత్త
రదానానీనీ తాళానానీ నీవత్తరదానీ -

విరలాంగాయి తాదత్తరదాన్ 309

May - 14

సీ 1831-32 కీ విరలానీ వత్తరదానీ త్యి యొ
3. కీరానీ దాదానీ, May 13 రల్ల నీయూదిసియూ
కీ యూరూకానానీ - నానీనానీనీనానీనీనీ

May - 15

వ్యయం ప్రబ 1-3 రవోగీవోవోనీనీనీనీనీ -
యీయీనీనీనీనీనీనీనీనీనీనీనీనీనీనీనీనీ -

సీ 1832-33 కీ నందనానీ వత్తరదానానీ

May - 16

గీరానీ త్యి యొ 11. కీ ప్రబదల్ల యీయీ నీవో
సియూ తాదత్తరదానీ.

సీ 1834-35 కీ యీయీ నీవత్తరదానానీనీ
గీరానీ త్యి యొ 3. కీ ప్రబద దాదానీ యూ
దియూనీ -

May - 17

20866 విక్రయి తాదత్తరదానీ యీయీ యీయీ
తాదత్తరదానీ యీయీ.

మిచ్చి - తి - బాగాయి

20866 యీయీ 0 000000

19) (14)

Serial
No.

ಪದವಿ: ಶ್ರೀ ೮೫/೩೩ ಪ್ರೌಢ ವಿದ್ಯಾ ವರ್ಗಕ್ಕೆ -

[illegible]

ಎರಡನೆಯದೇನು ಅಲ್ಲವೋ ಹೇಳುವೆನು

ಅನಂತರ ಬಿ.ಎಂ.ಪು.ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು

ಸಿದ್ಧಿಮಾರ್ಗವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿತ್ವವು

သို့သော်လည်းကောင်း၊ ဟောပြောသောအခါ၌လည်း

ತನ್ನಿನ್ನೆನ್ನೆ ಕೊಡು" - ಬಹುಮಾತು

3, 2.

ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರು, ಬಾಂಗ್ಲಾ, ಖಜಾನಾ-೧೯೮೦, ತೇಜಪುರ, ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ

309

ಮುನ್ಸ (35-36) ಸ್ವ.ವತಿ.ಶಕ ಬಿರುದಾಗಿ

గోరూరలోనిది లెక్క 35 కే. పాటదల్ల శామిల

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय (Sat May 18) Tuesday -

ಸು. 1836-37 ಕ್ಕೆ ಜುಲೈ 10 ರವರೆಗೆ

ದೀಕ್ಷಾಪೂರ್ವಕವಾಗಿ ಲೇಖನವನ್ನು ಕೊನೆಗೊಳಿಸಿದೆ.

१०८६३५

॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥

De 2.000000

၁၂၆၆-၁၂၆၇-ခုနှစ်

0060601-9-2020-3

०३७९

১২৪৬০৬৯

(25/8/20)

[Handwritten signature]

— ११० —

[illegible]

॥ श्रीगणेशाय नमः ॥

MGCPB-50,000-17-205

2008

Serial
No.

ಶರಣ್ಯಾಪಿಮೋಕ್ಷಮುಖಾಂತರ-ಕೃತಕಂಚಿ

ತಾಲೂಕುತಾಲೂಕು ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರಮಾನ್ಯವಾನ್
ಖರಲಾಗಲೂತು ವ್ಯಕ್ತರೂರ್ 309. —

[illegible]

ಸಿ. ೬/೮. ೩೮-೩೯ ಕೆ. ಎ. ಸಾ. ಪಾ. (ವೆಂಕಟೇಶ್) ಎಂಬ
ಬ. ವೈ. ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ಎ. ಕೆ. ಕೆ. ೩೮-೩೯ ನಾ. ಸಾ. ಪಾ.
ವಾ. ತಾ. // ೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯
೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯
೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯
೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯
೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯
೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯ ಸಾ. ಪಾ. ವಾ. ತಾ. ೩೮-೩೯

[illegible]

ತಲವಾಡು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು:-

విశాలానందాచార్య పర్యవేక్షణ - 308, కబల్ షేర్స్

Serial
No.

విద్యార్థులను మే 15 రద్దు-

[illegible]

ॐ नमो भगवते वासुदेवाय ॥

refornt 38

၂၂၈၃၀၆၉၈

ಇದೇ ಸರಿಯಾದದ್ದು ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸುವುದು 400 ರೂ —

[illegible][illegible]

రెండవ మూలకం $\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3}$ వలన
రెండవ మూలకం $\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3}$ వలన
 మూలకం $\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3}$ వలన
 మూలకం $\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} \times \frac{1}{3}$ వలన

ಅನಂತಿ. ದಾಸರಿ

Serial
No.

(40కి పుటవడికి నాగవల్లి)

ప్రే. కలెక్టర్, రెవెన్యూ. - ౨౪౦౮ పేలవి

౧౪౫౮ నాల్గవ మంత్రి కలెక్టర్
వదల్చి ముంబైకి వెళ్ళి వచ్చి
౨౪౬౫౮-౨౪౬౫౯ వేదిక నుంచి

౨౪౦౮ పేలవి

౨౦౬౫౮-౨౦౬౫౯ వేదిక నుంచి
౨౪౫౮ పేలవి

౨౦౬౫౮-౨౦౬౫౯ వేదిక నుంచి
౨౪౫౮ పేలవి

౧౦౫౮-౧౦౫౯ వేదిక నుంచి
౧౦౫౮ పేలవి

౨౦౬౫౮-౨౦౬౫౯ వేదిక నుంచి
౨౪౫౮ పేలవి

౪౦౫౮-౪౦౫౯ వేదిక నుంచి
౪౦౫౮ పేలవి

౨౪౦౮ పేలవి

ఈ మేరకు పంపిణీ చేసిన గ్రామీణ సహకార కార్యకర్తల
ప్రే. కలెక్టర్, రెవెన్యూ. ఇ. కలెక్టర్, రెవెన్యూ. (పంపిణీ చేసిన
మంత్రి కలెక్టర్, రెవెన్యూ. ౧౦౫౮-౧౦౫౯ వేదిక నుంచి)
ప్రే. కలెక్టర్, రెవెన్యూ. ఇ. కలెక్టర్, రెవెన్యూ. (పంపిణీ చేసిన
౪౦౫౮-౪౦౫౯ వేదిక నుంచి) ౪౦౫౮ పేలవి అయితే వారి
కార్యకర్తల నుంచి వచ్చినట్లు పంపిణీ చేసినట్లు
ఎన్నో వదల్చినట్లుగా ఉంటుంది. ఇది వారి నుంచి
కార్యకర్తల నుంచి వచ్చినట్లు పంపిణీ చేసినట్లు

ఇది -

May 27

May 28

Serial
No.

[illegible]

May 30-31

విరలంగా లభిస్తున్నది.

2008/00

શુભક -

మధ్యమ్యం ౩౪

മുഖ്യ മന്ത്രിമാർ

617

*. அரங்கு கட்டிடம்

इच्छाविरलोक

ಶ್ರೀ ೨೦ನೇ ತೀರ್ಮಾನ

మీ ఆశీర్వాదాలతో

[illegible]

దీనివలన రక్షణ పొందగలము. ఇది మనకు
 తెలియజేయుచున్నది.

అంబేద్కర్ కమిషన్ రిపోర్ట్ ప్రకారం 1955-56 సంవత్సరం నాటికి భారతదేశంలో ఉన్న మొత్తం జనాభా 360 మిలియన్లు. వీరిలో 150 మిలియన్లు పట్టణ ప్రాంతాల్లో ఉండి, 210 మిలియన్లు గ్రామ ప్రాంతాల్లో ఉన్నారు. 1955-56 నాటికి భారతదేశంలో ఉన్న మొత్తం జనాభా 360 మిలియన్లు. వీరిలో 150 మిలియన్లు పట్టణ ప్రాంతాల్లో ఉండి, 210 మిలియన్లు గ్రామ ప్రాంతాల్లో ఉన్నారు. 1955-56 నాటికి భారతదేశంలో ఉన్న మొత్తం జనాభా 360 మిలియన్లు. వీరిలో 150 మిలియన్లు పట్టణ ప్రాంతాల్లో ఉండి, 210 మిలియన్లు గ్రామ ప్రాంతాల్లో ఉన్నారు.

Flag. R

ಮಿಕ್ಕಲಾ ಗಾಂವು ತುದವು
ದೊನ್ ೨/೦೦

Flag - B

[illegible]

Serial
No.

సనదిల్లు "అద్దీ ప్రలలు, దాన, పరివతడేగళు
వ్యవహారం తుప్పలలు ముక్కు ఈ గ్రామమున
వల్లగల నిద్రి, నిష్పేష, ఇరి, తలు, రామణ, అష్టే
తిగంమి, శిల్పసాశ్యంగళు. ఇ అష్టే చురగతే
సార్వమ్యంగళును సత్వమాంధ్యదారి గేరే
నువరితే గులూనిరు ఈ సదిల్లెవ్వలలు, స్థ
వదిసలు ఈ రేగళుగే వివరి నుచ దావలీరంబలాలు
కల.

* కాలంకలకలంకి రూపాన్ని నామ్మి దక్షిణగోష్ఠి
సన్/838-39 శీవిగళును సువత్తరదల్లు కలంకల
సత్వమాంధ్యగళుగళు కుట్టువల్లిపిట్టిలలు/4 శీ
టదలాగాంలలుకల-19 శీ పుటద అబ్బికవరిగే వి
సిరురూపాన్ని దరాద అల్లిగింకే గ్రామవిషయ
దవివరణగళుల్లు ప్రారంభిస్తే సనదిల్లెరూ
గళుప్రేరి, వ్యవహారం తుప్పలలుగళు ముక్కు
అష్టే చురగతే సార్వమ్యంగళు" ఇ ప్రశ్నాత్మ
మిల్లివిషయగళుల్లా స్రావణలగా బరిలలు
ట్టిరూపంబరింద, ప్రధమ కేరూరూ స్రవ
దగ్రా ప్రలలుకలంకల పరివతడేగళు
వరణ ఆంకరగదీయరూరూరందలం, సన
సనదిల్లు కరివవిషయ (లూరే ఇ నంనాంకరి
సంగళు) లాలిలూరూరూరూ ఆంకరి
గద్యమంట్టిగే అవరణ సీలగద్యగళుకల, శీ-

* ఈమెల ప్రసాద పిసిద బాంబరూరూ కుట్టు
వల్లిలలుల్లి "శీవిగళు" గళుల్లిలలుల్లి
దిసిదల్లుగళుగళు సత్వమాంధ్యగళుగళు
లూరూగళుగళుగళు 19 శీ పుటద రూరూగళు సన

Flag-32

పుట 184 19
Flag 334 34

Flag-34

Note-Contn.

Serial
No.

[illegible][illegible]

ಶ್ರೀ ವರಾಹೇಶ್ವರ ಕಿರಾಣಿ ಕೊಡು ವಿಸ್ತಾರ
ಜನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ವಿಷಯ ಕುರಿತು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ

[illegible]

అనిష్టాన్ని సేవించేవారి పాపములు
(ప్రకృతి లులను నశింపజేయుట)

22.12.08

Serial
No.

The above discussion shows that the details of revenue alienated by the Saund are not forthcoming. Hence a decision should be recorded on other aspects of the case which are all favourable to the party concerned - vide pages 5 to 8 of these tables.

The view taken by the Excise Comr in his letter No. 4864 of the 19.11.08 may be accepted & the claim recognised.

K.S.
28/12/08. 26 The office note dated 2nd Dec 08 summarises the evidence very completely.

I have verified the references it with the references in the record.

27 The Saund Grants Eacheppan and the Duram Reguler recognises the right to Shendi, though in another column this is qualified by the remark that "the item of today allowed so far as leasing the trees to the Government Contractor". The Excise Comr has shown in para 3 of his letter (under disposal) that this reservation only meant that the "Saund" is not allowed to derive

Serial
No.

any Toddy Excise from his village
except through the Government
Contractor" as the distinction between
the rent and Excise duty did not
exist at that period

28 The Mutchalikes referred to
in the Office note show that from the
year 1865 at least the Suamdar
was leasing the trees including the
right to sell to the Government
Contractors. These rights have been
enforced in favour of the Suamdars
and against the Contractors at least
on two occasions by the Deputy
Commr of Mysore on petitions submitted
to him in 1887 and in 1892. It will
thus be seen that the Suamdars
enjoyed the right within 12 years prior
to 1892-93. There is a shop as well as
a grove in the village

29 As regards the remarks in
the Vernacular by the Vernacular
Records Branch, I gather that
no mention is made of Sardi or
punchbet in the accounts relating
to the assets of the village in question
up to the year 1865. But, I submit,

Serial
No.

this is not of much consequence
we have no definite knowledge as
to whether those accounts were quite
~~complete or not~~
Complete. In any case the records
2nd August 1901 require that finally
the Duam Sotter settlement should
be added to, where it is clear by itself
this together with Enjoymment both
before and after the Duam Settlement
appears to establish the claim in
favour of the Duamda

17/3/09

SB

1st Councillor

30 Rev. Ser.

h

6/11/16

10207

19-3-09
my own

Revised for order

2/11/17

247

22.11.16

31 Submitted for order

140 & 27 notes

32

The claim may be allowed

h

23.11

245
23.11

33 9 April

well

1/12

UK 23/11

UK 12.12.16

Submitted to Dewan for final approval
before issue of order

Dewan

UK 8/12
1916

In Dewan's order
side note sheet in
R. 27-07. The order is as follows:-
Orders may issue in con-
sistence with the opinion of
the Members of Council wherever they
shall agree.

Note - Contn. sheet

10 M V
23/11/17

Draft and

18

24.1.17

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

82 File No. 109 of 1908.

Serial
No.

Draft - is filed accordingly for order.

27-11-17
25/1/17
Ruseey) 18
28.1.172nd member)✓
✓102
30.1.1747
2-2-17

Issue

102
5.2.17.18
5/2To The Excise Commissioner
and Comptroller.


No 76 4483-4 D 12 2 17

Holds that the 2 namdar is privileged
and entitled to the Revenue of the
village.

SL No 1.

Eq. 234

FROM



Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq.
Secretary to Govt.
Revenue and Lands

Dated 7th February 1903

Despatched // do

Received

General No. 45-79
No. 247

Enclosure No. 1 file

Submits with his opinion
The record of enquiry
relating to the Toddy Reve
of Alagach Saramang
Nanjangood Taluk.

Enc

14.2.03

Filed with a note &
draft

True
7.6.03

Office of the Excise Commissioner:

20
No. 4579
24/2
Bangalore dated 7th February 19

From

P. N. Punaiya Esqr. B.A.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. M.A.M.L.

Secretary to Government
Revenue and General Dept.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the toddy revenue of Alaganchi Sarvamanya village, near - gangood Taluk, I have the honour to state that in the Sunnad of this village "Eachelpp" is specifically mentioned as in the Sunnad. of other similar villages to which Toddy Revenue has been conceded at the Inam Settlement. In the Inam Settlement - the "Sendi" is included as one of the items of revenue enjoyed. In para 4 of the Inam Superintendent's order, dated 8th August-1880, it is stated "the item of toddy is allowed so far as leaving the trees to the Government Contractors but in para 6 it is mentioned "the other items of Ab Kari and all Government rights in forest are reserved". After

reading

No. 590 107. 14/5/03.
Ex 7/1201 4)

No

The Esq. Compt
in Mysore

No. 4579 of
247

24. 2. 1903 rule.
The record of enquiry
relative to the
inamdar's claim
to the toddy revenue
of Alaganchi Sanna
mainam village
Kanjangud Taluk
Mysore Dist.

In returning
the enclosures given
with the above
for reference and
reply, has the
honor to request
that the inam
title deed be referred to
Mr. T. Narasimhaiah

the agent of one
of the incumbers,
in his statement
made before the
S. L. Sub. Com. off.
on 12/2/02, may
be obtained & subd.
for the benefit of
Couch. A clear
report as to whether
& how the incumbers'
~~long~~ enjoyment of the office, revenue
at least for 12 yrs
prior to 1892-93
has been established, is in this case
also necessary or not?


B. L.

~~Handwritten signature~~
11/5/13
Secy

True
7.5.03

Ex 262 8/8/12/03

1903



From

P. N. Purnaiya, Esquire B.A.,
Excise Commissioner

To

in Mysore,
H. V. Nanjundayya, Esq., M.A., J.D.,
Secy. to the Govt. of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Dept.

Dated 2nd December 1903.

Despatched 5th

Received

General No. 4965

No. 196

Enclosure No 1 file.

Submits title deed called for and
the Suāmdār's claim to Toddy
Revenue of Alapanchi Sarvamangya
village, Nanjangud Taluk, and
states that the Suāmdār's agent has
produced a Muchelitta of 8th October 1903,
executed by the late Abkari Contractor
of Nanjangud Sub Division, agreeing
to pay Rs 8/2/10 a month on account
of abkari items belonging to the share
of Venkatraya Setti, one fourth
hissedar in the village.

Yen

8.12.03

4965
196
Enc 1 file Excise Commissioner's Office

Dated Bangalore, 2nd December 1903.

From

P. C. Purnaiya, Esquire, B.A.,

Excise Commissioner in Mysore,

To H. V. Hanjundayya, Esquire, M.A., M.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket of 590, dated 14th May last, about the Naundars' claim to the Toddy Revenue of Alaganchi Sarvamangam village, Hanjannagud Taluk, I have the honour to submit the title deed therein called for and to state that the Naundars' agent has produced, in support of their enjoyment of Toddy Revenue, a Muchalika, herewith enclosed, dated 8th October 1891, executed by the late dikhari Contractor of Hanjannagud Sub Division, agreeing to pay Rs. 8-2-1 month on account of Toddy and other dikhari items belonging to the share of Venkatraya Setti, one fourth hissedar in the village.

2. The Mysore Deputy Commissioner's No. 170, dated 28 October last, and its enclosures accompanying.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

P. C. Purnaiya

For Excise Commissioner, in Mysore

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat.

To

SE No 2

Herewith Conve
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft

Date of despatch

29/1/04

Regd. No.

526

File No. & date (if any)

Ex 7/2-01

No. of Encls.

1 file

His letter no 4965 of 1906 of
2nd Dec 03, subg. The
Deam title deed & other
documents produced
by the Deandars of the
Alaganeli Sawa-
manyam village,
Nanjangud Taluk,
in support of their
claim to the & vice
revenue from body

In returning
herewith the record
of the Case for ref. &
present

ll 12/04

& permission has
the honor to request
the at copies of pro-
ceeds, decrees & other
important papers
in the 2 parts of 2 to
by Thiruganaijude
Sethi in his Rda. of 19.12.01
to the Dy Commr, Mysore
Dist, may be obtained
& subd to Court, for
reference. To C.

(cc: order)

27/1/04

For release

No 4864
5 Files

Office of the Excise Commissioner 10)
in Mysore,
Bangalore, dated 19th November 1908.

From

H.H. Sparkes Esquire,
Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

K.S. Chendrasekhara Aiyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

N. Adverting to your docket No.526/ Ex.F.No.12-01, dated 29th January 1904 calling for additional information regarding the Inamdar's claim to the Excise Revenue from toddy of Sarvamanya Alaganchi village in the Nanjangud Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the correspondence ending with the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's docket No.313, dated 3rd instant together with the four files of records received therewith.

I 2. The Sannad alienating the village was given by His Highness the late Maharaja Sri Krishnaraja Wadayar Bahadur III on the 10th June 1826 to Chicka Siddaraja Urs granting him the village of Alaganchi with a beriz of 875-1-5 on Sarvamanya tenure and concedes "Eachal pairu" to the Inamdar. In the Huzur Sarvamanya kyfiat Patti of 1838 a sum of 12-5-0 is shown as the income from Panchabab in the village-vide column 14 of the Inam register of enquiry.

y 3. At the Inam Settlement conducted in the year 1880 the Inam Assistant Mr.B. Rajagopaliah has recorded in column 19 thereof that Sayer, sendi and forest rights except sandal are enjoyed. Sendi is also mentioned as one of the items enjoyed. In column 20 of the register, the Inam Superintendent has recorded in para 4 that the item of toddy is allowed so far as leasing the trees to the Government contractor. In para 6 it is remarked that the other items of Abkari and all Government rights in Forest are reserved. The remarks of the Superintendent cannot mean, in the

the circumstances of this case, anything else than that the Inamdar is not allowed to derive any toddy excise from his village except through the Government contractor. The distinction between tree rent and excise duty cannot be expected to have been maintained at the time of the Inam settlement and the words "other items of Abkari" occurring in para six are significant enough. If tree rent was meant there was no necessity to mention the same as such a right was a concession included in the tenure itself. Besides the proofs of enjoyment of nothing shorter than the Excise revenue from toddy of the village prior and subsequent to the Inam Settlement, which will be referred to in the next para, confirm this view of the decision of the Inam Superintendent. Instances of allowed claims are not wanting in which similar remarks have been made by the Inam Superintendent in the Inam registers of enquiry. Nachanahalli village in the Mysore Taluk may be cited as one of the instances.

4. There is both a toddy shop and a date grove in the village. Mutchalika dated 1st March 1865 executed by Messrs Edwards & Co, proves the enjoyment from 1865 to 1868 and that dated 23rd April 1870 executed by Messrs Taylor & Co, brings the enjoyment to 1875. The Inamdar must have received similar amounts from the contractors for the years 1875 to 1880 but the investigating officer has not called for these records as he has confined his enquiry into the question of enjoyment for the period of 12 years immediately preceding 1892-93. The extracts from the khangi accounts of the Inamdar filed in the records, the decrees in Revenue Miscellaneous case No.19 of 1887-88 and No.16 of 1891-92 on the file of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and the Mutchalika dated 8th October 1891 executed by Chicka Muddappa and Company complete the uninterrupted enjoyment of the right of the Inamdar up to the year 1892-93. I am, therefore, of opinion that the Inamdar is entitled to the Excise revenue from toddy of the village as the right has been conceded by the Sannad and recognized at the Inam Settlement and is established by long enjoyment.

5. The case falls under rules 2, 3, 5, 9 and 11 of the rules

rules of the 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "H. H. Parker", written in dark ink.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

A small handwritten signature or initials, possibly "H. H.", written in dark ink and underlined.

G.R.S. 28

GEN. REV. SECRETARIAT.
TYPING Sec.
15 FEB 1917

HAs that the manda
privileged.

Diary No. of letter replied to

1734

File No. 105-

Serial No. 4.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(SR)

, the

191 .

Date of issue.

12-2-17

Signed by

W Sreenivasaiah

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

READ—

Correspondence ending with

letter no: 4864 of 19/11/1908 from the

Council in Mysore regarding the manda

claim to the excise revenue from toddy
of Haganahinallu, Nanjangud the, Mysore

ORDER ~~THIRTY~~—No. 464483-4

12-2-17

The Samed grant

eachal paine and the Inam

Register Settlement recognise

the right to send Evidence

over

SUBJECT.

2/4/17

of the enjoyment of this revenue
within 12 years preceding
1892-3 has been adduced.

Govt, concurring with the
Excise Commr, hold that
the mandamus is ~~principle~~
and ~~entitled to the~~
revenue in question.

W^m ✓

~~Mr~~
20.1.17.
Per Secy to Govt.

18
29.1.17

To the Excise Commr in Mysore,
reopening the records.

The Comptroller to Govt.

W. S. S. S.
29/1/17